

**Research Article** 

## New Operators in Ideal Topological Spaces and Their Closure Spaces

Shyamapada Modak<sup>\*</sup>, Md. Monirul Islam

Department of Mathematics, University of Gour Banga, Malda 732 103, West Bengal, India

Received Date: Aug 10, 2019
 Revised Date: Nov 8, 2019
 Accepted Date: Dec 19, 2019
 Published Online: Dec 23, 2019

### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce two operators associated with  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$  operators in ideal topological spaces and discuss the properties of these operators. We give further characterizations of Hayashi-Samuel spaces with the help of these two operators. We also give a brief discussion on homeomorphism of generalized closure spaces which were induced by these two operators.

## Keywords

Ideal topological spaces,  $\Delta$ -operator,  $\nabla$ -operator, Hayashi-Samuel space, isotonic spaces, homeomorphism.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The study of local function on ideal topological space was introduced by Kuratowski [1] and Vaidyanathswamy [2]. The mathematicians like Jankovic and Hamlett [3, 4], Samuel [5], Hayashi [6], Hashimoto [7], Newcomb [8], Modak [9, 10], Bandyopadhyay and Modak [11, 12], Noiri and Modak [13], Al-Omari et al. [14, 15, 16, 17] have enriched this study. Natkaniec in [18] have introduced the complement of local function and it is called  $\Psi$ -Operator. In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , the local function ()<sup>\*</sup> is defined as:  $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$  (or, simply,  $A^*$ ) = { $x \in X : U_x \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}$ }, where  $U_x \in \tau(x)$ , the collection of all open sets containing x. Its

2017-2019©Published by AksarayUniversity



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Shyamapada Modak, spmodak2000@yahoo.co.in

complement function, that is,  $\Psi$ -operator is defined as:  $\Psi(A) = X \setminus (X \setminus A)^*$ . Using these two set functions, ()<sup>\*</sup> and  $\Psi$ , Modak and Islam [19, 20] have introduced two moreoperators in the ideal topological spaces and they are:  ${}^{*\Psi}(A) = \Psi(A^*) = X \setminus (X \setminus A^*)^*$  and  $\Psi^*(A) = (\Psi(A))^* = \{x \in X : U_x \cap \Psi(A) \notin \mathcal{I}\}$ , where  $U_x \in \tau(x)$ .

Following example shows that the values of the operators  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$  are not the same:

**Example 1.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Then,  $*^{\Psi}(X) = \Psi(X^*)$ =  $\Psi(\{a, b\}) = X \setminus (\{c\})^* = X$  and  $(\Psi(X))^* = X^* = \{a, b\}$ . Therefore,  $\Psi^*(X) \neq *^{\Psi}(X)$ .

The value of the operator  $*^{\Psi}$  is an open set and the value of the operator  $\Psi^*$  is a closed set. In this paper, we further consider the operators using joint operators  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$  simultaneously and shall define two more operators using of  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$  which is  $\Delta$  and meet of  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$ which is  $\nabla$ . We also consider the values of these two operators on various ideal topological spaces as well as various subsets of the ideal topological space. We also give a bunch of characterization of Hayashi-Samuel space. An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is called

Hayashi-Samuel space [21], if  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . Theauthors Hamlett and Jankovi *c* [3] called it by the name of  $\tau$ -boundary, whereas the authors Dontchev, Ganster and Rose [22] called it by the name of codense ideal. In the study of ideal topological spaces, it played an important role. Two well known Hayashi-Samuel spaces are: Let  $\tau$  be a topology on a set X, then  $(X, \tau, \{\emptyset\})$  is a Hayashi-Samuel space and if  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is the collection of all nowhere dense subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}_n)$  is also a Hayashi-Samuel space.

Further, we also give the topological properties of the generalized closure spaces [23, 24] induced by the above mentioned operators  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$ .

Now we shall give a few words about generalized closure spaces. The study of closure spaces was introduced by Habil and Elzenati [23] in 2003 and Stadler [24] in 2005. Generalized closure space is the generalization of closure space and its definition is as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a set,  $\wp(X)$  be the power set of X and  $cl: \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  be any arbitrary set-valued set-function, called a closure function. We call cl(A) the closure of A, and we call the pair (X, cl) a generalized closure space (see [23, 24]).

Consider the following axioms (see [23, 24]) of the closure function for all  $A, B, A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ ,

 $\Lambda$  is an index set:

The closure function in a generalized closure space (X, cl) is called:

(K0) grounded, if  $cl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . (K1) isotonic, if  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)$ . (K2) expanding, if  $A \subseteq cl(A)$ . (K3) sub-additive, if  $cl(A \cup B) \subseteq cl(A) \cup cl(B)$ . (K4) idempotent, if cl(cl(A)) = cl(A). (K5) additive, if  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl(A_{\lambda}) = cl(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda}))$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [24, 25, 26] A pair (X, cl) is said to be an isotonic space if it satisfies the axioms (K0) and (K1). If an isotonic space (X, cl) satisfies (K2), then it is called a neighbourhood space. A closure space that satisfies (K4), is called a neighbourhood space. A topological space, that satisfies (K3), is a closure space.

*'int'* is the complement function of the closure function *'cl'* and it is defined as:

$$int(A) = X \setminus cl(X \setminus A)$$
, for  $A \subseteq X$ .

### **2.** $\Delta$ **Operator**

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. We define the operator  $\Delta: \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$  as:

$$\Delta(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(A)$$
, for  $A \subseteq X$ .

Observe that, for  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\Delta(A)$  is the union of an open set and a closed set.

The next example shows that union of an open set and a closed set is not always an expression of  $\Delta(A)$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$ . Let  $A_1 = \{a\}$  and  $A_2 = \{c\}$ . Then,  $A_1$  is open and  $A_2$  is closed. Then  $A_1 \cup A_2 = \{a, c\}$ . Now  $(\Psi(\emptyset))^* = \emptyset$ =  $(\Psi(\{b\}))^* = (\Psi(\{c\}))^* = (\Psi(\{b, c\}))^*, (\Psi(\{a\}))^* = X = (\Psi(\{a, b\}))^* = (\Psi(\{a, c\}))^*$ 

$$= (\Psi(X))^* \text{ and } \Psi(\emptyset^*) = \emptyset = \Psi((\{b\})^*) = \Psi((\{c\})^*) = \Psi((\{b,c\})^*), \Psi((\{a\})^*) = X$$
$$= \Psi((\{a,b\})^*) = \Psi((\{a,c\})^*) = \Psi(X^*). \text{ So there is no } T \in \wp(X) \text{ such that } \Delta(T) = A_1 \cup A_2.$$
If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\Delta(A) = Int(Cl(A)) \cup Cl(Int(A))$  (where 'Int' and 'Cl' denote the interior and closure operator of  $(X,\tau)$  respectively) and if  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_n$ , then
$$\Delta(A) = [Int(Cl(Int(Cl(Int(Cl(A))))))] \cup [Cl(Int(Cl(Int(Cl(Int(A))))))]$$
$$= Int(Cl(A)) \cup Cl(Int(A)).$$

Therefore, the value of  $\Delta$ , for any subset A of X on  $(X, \tau, \{\emptyset\})$  and  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}_n)$  are equal.

The operator  $\Delta$  is not grounded and it follows from the following example:

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Then,  $\Delta(\emptyset) = \Psi^*(\emptyset) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \cup \{a\} = \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ . So, the operator  $\Delta$  is not grounded.

**Theorem 2.4.** An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is Hayashi-Samuel, if and only if, the operator  $\Delta: \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is grounded.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then,  $X = X^*$  [4]. Now,  $\Delta(\emptyset) = \Psi^*(\emptyset) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(\emptyset) = (X \setminus X^*)^* \cup (X \setminus X^*) = \emptyset^* \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$ .

Conversely suppose that  $\Delta(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\Psi^*(\emptyset) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , implies,  $(\Psi(\emptyset))^* \cup \Psi(\emptyset^*) = \emptyset$ , implies,  $(X \setminus X^*)^* \cup (X \setminus X^*) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $X \setminus X^* = \emptyset$  and  $(X \setminus X^*)^* = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is a Hayashi-Samuel space.

We recall following definition:

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then, A is said to be a  $\Psi^*$ -set [9] (resp.  $\Psi$ -C set [12], regular open set [27]) if  $A \subseteq (\Psi(A))^*$  (resp.  $A \subseteq Cl(\Psi(A)), A = Int(Cl(A))$ ).

The collection of all  $\Psi^*$ -sets (resp.  $\Psi - C$  sets) in  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is denoted as  $\Psi^*(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\Psi(X, \tau)$ ).

**Corollary 2.6.** In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is a Hayashi-Samuel space [20];
- 2.  $\Psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  [20];
- 3. if  $A \subseteq X$  is closed, then,  $\Psi(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$  [20];
- 4.  $*^{\Psi} : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is grounded;
- 5. if  $A \subseteq X$ , then,  $Int(Cl(A)) = \Psi(Int(Cl(A)))$  [20];
- 6. *A* is regular open,  $A = \Psi(A)[20]$ ;
- 7.  $\Delta$  is grounded;
- 8. if  $U \in \tau$ , then,  $\Psi(U) \subseteq Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq U^*[20];$
- 9. if  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then,  $\Psi(I) = \emptyset$  [20];
- 10.  $\Psi^*(X,\tau) = \Psi(X,\tau)$  [20];
- 11.  $\Psi^*(A) = Cl(\Psi(A))$ , for each  $A \subseteq X$  [20];
- 12.  $G \subseteq G^*$ , for each  $G \in \tau$ ;
- 13.  $\Psi^*(X) = X$ ;
- 14. if  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ , then,  $Int(J) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Follows from Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.18 of [20].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then the operator  $\Delta : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is isotonic.

**Proof.** Follows from the following facts:

- (*i*) The operator \* is isotonic.
- (*ii*) The operator  $\psi$  is isotonic.

The following example shows that the operator  $\Delta$  is not expanding.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$ . Then,  $\Psi^*(A) = \emptyset = {}^{*\Psi}(A)$ . Thus,  $\Delta(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(A) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A \nsubseteq \Delta(A)$ . **Theorem 2.9.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then for  $A, B \in \wp(X)$ ,  $\Delta(A) \cup \Delta(B) \subseteq \Delta(A \cup B)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Since,  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $\Delta$  is isotonic, hence,  $\Delta(A) \subseteq \Delta(A \cup B)$ . Similarly,  $\Delta(B) \subseteq \Delta(A \cup B)$ . Hence  $\Delta(A) \cup \Delta(B) \subseteq \Delta(A \cup B)$ . Since  $Int(Cl(A \cup B)) \neq Int(Cl(A)) \cup Cl(Int(A)) \cup Cl(Int(B)) \cup Int(Cl(B))$ , the operator  $\Delta$  is not sub-additive, and hence it is not additive.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $\Delta(A) \subseteq A^*$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the following facts:

- (*i*)  $\Psi(A^*) \subseteq A^*$ , for any  $A \in \wp(X)$ .
- (*ii*)  $(\Psi(A))^* \subseteq A^*$ , for any  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.**Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $\Delta(A) \subseteq Cl^*(A)$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $\Delta(X) = X$ .

Following example shows that the converse of the Corollary 2.12 does not hold, in general.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Then, \* $^{\Psi}(X) = \Psi(X^*) = \Psi(\{a, b\}) = X \setminus (\{c\})^* = X$  and  $(\Psi(X))^* = X^* = \{a, b\}$ . Therefore,  $\Delta(X) = \Psi^*(X) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(X) = X$  but  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is not a Hayashi-Samuel space.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then for  $U \in \tau$ ,  $Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq \Delta(U) \subseteq U^* = Cl(U)$ .

**Proof.** We have,  $\Delta(U) = \Psi^*(U) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(U) = (\Psi(U))^* \cup \Psi(U^*) = Cl(\Psi(U)) \cup \Psi(Cl(U))$  [13] =  $Cl(\Psi(U)) \cup [X \setminus (X \setminus Cl(U))^*] = [X \setminus Cl\Psi(U) \cup Cl(X \setminus Cl(U))]$ . This implies that  $Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq \Delta(U)$ . Further, from Theorem 2.10,  $\Delta(U) \subseteq U^* \subseteq Cl(U)$ . Thus  $Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq \Delta(U) \subseteq U^* = Cl(U)$ .

The authors Jankovi *c* and Hamlett have introduced a new topology  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  [4] from  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ . Its closure operator is denoted as  $Cl^*$  [4].

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space and  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $\Delta(J) = Cl^*(X \setminus X^*).$ 

**Proof.** Let  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $(X \setminus J)^* = X^*$  [4].  $\Delta(J) = \Psi^*(J) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(J) = (\Psi(J))^* \cup \Psi(J^*)$ =  $(X \setminus (X \setminus J)^*)^* \cup \Psi(\emptyset) = (X \setminus X^*)^* \cup (X \setminus X^*) = Cl^*(X \setminus X^*)$  [12].

**Corollary 2.16.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space and  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $\Delta(J) = \emptyset$ . It is not necessary that  $\Delta(A) = \emptyset$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Example 2.17.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{b, c\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $\Psi^*(A) = {}^{*\Psi}(A) = \emptyset$ . So,  $\Delta(A) = \emptyset$ . This example shows that  $\Delta(A) = \emptyset$  but  $A \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

**Corollary 2.18.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then,  $\Delta(A \cup J) = \Delta(A \setminus J) = \Delta(A)$ , for  $A \subseteq X, J \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Proof.** Obvious from [3] and [4].

#### **3.** $\nabla$ **Operator**

In this section, we shall define another operator  $\nabla$  and discuss the role of  $\nabla$  in Hayashi-Samuel spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. We define the operator  $\nabla : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$  as:

$$\nabla(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A)$$
, for  $A \subseteq X$ .

It is obvious that for a subset A of X, the value  $\nabla(A)$  is the intersection of a closed set and an open set, since,  $\Psi^*(A)$  is a closed set and  $*^{\Psi}(A)$  is an open set. Thus,  $\nabla(A)$  is a locally closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  for any  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$ . Also let  $H = \{b\}$ . Then  $H = \{a, b\} \cap \{b, c\}$ . So H is a locally closed set. Now,  $(\Psi(\emptyset))^* = \emptyset = (\Psi(\{b\}))^*$  $= (\Psi(\{c\}))^* = (\Psi(\{b, c\}))^*, (\Psi(\{a\}))^* = X = (\Psi(\{a, b\}))^* = (\Psi(\{a, c\}))^* = (\Psi(X))^*$  and  $\Psi(\emptyset^*) = \emptyset = \Psi((\{b\})^*) = \Psi((\{c\})^*) = \Psi((\{b, c\})^*), \Psi((\{a\})^*) = X = \Psi((\{a, b\})^*)$  $= \Psi((\{a, c\})^*) = \Psi(X^*)$ .

So, there does not exist any set  $A, B \subseteq X$ , such that H can be expressed as  $H = (\Psi(A))^* \cap \Psi(B^*)$ . Therefore, we conclude that locally closed set cannot be decomposed by the operators  $\Psi^*$  and  $*^{\Psi}$ .

If 
$$\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$$
, then  $\nabla(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A) = (\Psi(A))^* \cap \Psi(A^*) = [Int(Cl(A))] \cap [Cl(Int(A))]$ .

If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_n$ , then  $\nabla(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A) = (\Psi(A))^* \cap \Psi(A^*) = [Int(Cl(A))] \cap [Cl(Int(A))]$ =  $[Int(Cl(Int(Cl(Int(Cl(A))))))] \cap [Cl(Int(Cl(Int(Cl(Int(A))))))].$ Moreover,  $X \setminus \Delta(A) = \nabla(X \setminus A)$ .

The value of  $\nabla$  on a subset A of X on the spaces  $(X, \tau, \{\emptyset\})$  and  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}_n)$  are equal.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then the operator  $\nabla : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is grounded.

**Proof.** Obvious from the facts that:

- (*i*)  $X = X^*$ , for the Hayashi-Samuel space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ .
- $(ii) *^{\Psi}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .
- (*iii*)  $\Psi^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true, in general:

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ .

Then  $\nabla(\emptyset) = \Psi^*(\emptyset) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \cap \{a\} = \emptyset$ , but  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is not a Hayashi-Samuel space.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then the operator  $\nabla : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is isotonic.

**Proof.** Since, both the operators \* and  $\Psi$  are isotonic, then  $\nabla$  is isotonic.

The following Example shows that the operator  $\nabla$  is not expanding.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$ . Then,  $\Psi^*(A) = \emptyset = {}^{*\Psi}(A)$ . Thus,  $\nabla(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A \nsubseteq \nabla(A)$ .

The following example shows that the operator  $\nabla$  is not subadditive.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$ and  $B = \{b\}$ . Then,  $\Psi^*(A) = \{a, c, d\}$ ,  ${}^{*\Psi}(A) = \{a\}$  and  $\Psi^*(B) = \{b, c, d\}$ ,  ${}^{*\Psi}(B) = \{b\}$ . So  $\nabla(A) = \Psi^*(A) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A) = \{a\}$  and  $\nabla(B) = \Psi^*(B) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(B) = \{b\}$ . So,  $\nabla(A) \cup \nabla(B) = \{a, b\}$ . Also,  $\Psi^*(A \cup B) = X$  and  ${}^{*\Psi}(A \cup B) = X$ . Thus,  $\nabla(A \cup B) = \Psi^*(A \cup B) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(A \cup B) = X$ . Therefore,  $\nabla(A \cup B) \notin \nabla(A) \cup \nabla(B)$ . Hence,  $\nabla$  is not subadditive.

**Remark 3.8.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then the operator  $\nabla : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is not additive.

However following holds:

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then for  $A, B \in \wp(X)$ ,  $\nabla(A) \cup \nabla(B) \subseteq \nabla(A \cup B)$ . **Proof.** Let  $A, B \in \wp(X)$ . Since,  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $\nabla$  is isotonic, then,  $\nabla(A) \subseteq \nabla(A \cup B)$ . Similarly,  $\nabla(B) \subseteq \nabla(A \cup B)$ . Hence,  $\nabla(A) \cup \nabla(B) \subseteq \nabla(A \cup B)$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $\nabla(A) \subseteq A^*$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious from the following facts:

(*i*)  $*^{\Psi}(A) \subseteq A^*$ , for the Hayashi-Samuel space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ .

(*ii*)  $\Psi^*(A) \subseteq A^*$ , for the Hayashi-Samuel space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $\nabla(A) \subseteq Cl^*(A)$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Corollary 3.12.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then

1. 
$$\Delta(A) \cup \nabla(A) \subseteq A^*$$
, for any  $A \subseteq X$ .  
2.  $\Delta(A) \cap \nabla(A) \subseteq A^*$ , for any  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is Hayashi-Samuel, if and only if,  $\nabla(X) = X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then  $X^* = X$ . Then,  $\nabla(X) = \Psi^*(X) \cap^{*\Psi}(X) = [(X \setminus (X \setminus X)^*)^*] \cap [X \setminus (X \setminus X^*)^*] = X^* \cap X = X$ . Conversely suppose that  $X = \nabla(X) = \Psi^*(X) \cap^{*\Psi}(X) = (\Psi(X))^* \cap \Psi(X^*)$  $= [X \setminus (X \setminus X)^*]^* \cap [X \setminus (X \setminus X^*)^*] = [X \setminus (X \setminus X^*)^*] \cap X^* \subseteq X^*$ . Thus,  $X = X^*$ , and hence the space is Hayashi-Samuel.

**Corollary 3.14.** In an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is a Hayashi-Samuel space [20];
- 2.  $\Psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  [20];
- 3. if  $A \subseteq X$  is closed, then,  $\Psi(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$  [20];
- 4.  $*^{\Psi} : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  is grounded;

- 5. if  $A \subseteq X$ , then,  $Int(Cl(A)) = \Psi(Int(Cl(A)))$  [20]; 6. *A* is regular open,  $A = \Psi(A)$  [20]; 7.  $\Delta$  is grounded; 8.  $\nabla(X) = X$ ; 9. if  $U \in \tau$ , then,  $\Psi(U) \subseteq Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq U^*$  [20]; 10. if  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then,  $\Psi(I) = \emptyset$  [20]; 11.  $\Psi^*(X, \tau) = \Psi(X, \tau)$  [20]; 12.  $\Psi^*(A) = Cl(\Psi(A))$ , for each  $A \subseteq X$  [20]; 13.  $G \subseteq G^*$ , for each  $G \in \tau$ ; 14.  $\Psi^*(X) = X$ ;
- 15. if  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ , then,  $Int(J) = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 3.15.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space such that  $\nabla(X) = X$ . Then,  $\Psi^*(X) = X$  and  $*^{\Psi}(X) = X$ .

**Proof.** Follows from the fact that,  $X = \nabla(X) \subseteq \Psi^*(X) \subseteq X^*$  and  $X = \nabla(X) \subseteq {}^{*\Psi}(X) \subseteq X^*$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then, for  $U \in \tau$ ,  $Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq \nabla(U)$ .

**Proof.** We have  $\nabla(U) = \Psi^*(U) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(U) = (\Psi(U))^* \cap \Psi(U^*) = Cl(\Psi(U)) \cap \Psi(Cl(U))$   $= Cl[X \setminus (X \setminus U)^*] \cap [X \setminus Cl(U))^*]$   $\supseteq [X \setminus Int(Cl(X \setminus U))] \cap [X \setminus Cl(X \setminus Cl(U))]$   $= [X \setminus (X \setminus Cl(U))] \cap Int(Cl(U)) = Cl(U) \cap Int(Cl(U)) = Int(Cl(U)).$ 

**Corollary 3.17.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then for  $U \in \tau$ ,  $Int(Cl(U)) \subseteq \nabla(U) \subseteq U^* = Cl(U)$ .

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space and  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $\nabla(J) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Let  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then,  $J^* = \emptyset$  [4]. Now,  $\nabla(J) = \Psi^*(J) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(J) = (\Psi(J))^* \cap \Psi(J^*)$ =  $(X \setminus (X \setminus J)^*)^* \cap \Psi(\emptyset) = (X \setminus X)^* \cap (X \setminus X^*) = \emptyset$ .

The converse of this theorem is not true in general.

**Example 3.19.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $J = \{a\}$ . Then,  $\nabla(J) = \Psi^*(J) \cap {}^{*\Psi}(J) = \emptyset \cap \{a\} = \emptyset$ . Here the space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is not a Hayashi-Samuel space.

**Corollary 3.20.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space and  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\nabla(J) = \Delta(J) = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 3.21.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal topological space. Then, for  $A \subseteq X, J \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\nabla(A \setminus J) = \nabla(A \cup J) = \nabla(A)$ .

**Proof.** Obvious from [3] and [4].

**Lemma 3.22.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then, for  $A \subseteq X$ 

1.\*
$$^{\Psi}(A) = X \setminus \Psi^*(X \setminus A)$$
.  
2.\* $^{\Psi}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \Psi^*(A)$ .

More general relation between  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  is:

**Theorem 3.23.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be a Hayashi-Samuel space. Then for  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\nabla(A) = X \setminus \Delta(X \setminus A)$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{split} X \searrow \nabla(A) &= X \searrow [\Psi^*(A) \cap^{*\Psi}(A)] = [X \searrow \Psi^*(A)] \cup [X \searrow^{*\Psi}(A)] \\ &= {}^{*\Psi}(X \searrow A) \cup [X \searrow (X \searrow \Psi^*(X \searrow A))] = [\Psi^*(X \searrow A) \cup {}^{*\Psi}(X \searrow A)] = \Delta(X \searrow A) \,. \end{split}$$

#### 4. Spaces induced by $\Delta$ and $\nabla$

In generalized closure space (X, cl), two concepts were defined: one is closure preserving [26] and other is continuity [26]. But fortunately, two concepts are coincident in the isotonic space [24, 26]. Here we define continuity in isotonic space.

**Definition 4.1.** [24, 26] Let  $(X, cl_X)$  and  $(Y, cl_Y)$  be two generalized closure spaces. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous if  $cl_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_Y(B))$ , for all  $B \in \wp(Y)$ .

In isotonic spaces,  $(X, cl_x)$  and  $(Y, cl_y)$ , we can represent the continuity by the following way:

**Definition 4.2.** [24, 26] Let  $(X, cl_X)$  and  $(Y, cl_Y)$  be two generalized closure spaces. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is closure-preserving (or continuous), if for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ ,  $f(cl_X(A)) \subseteq cl_Y(f(A))$ 

Now, for the isotonic spaces,  $(X, \Delta)$  and  $(X, \nabla)$ , it is obvious that  $f(\nabla(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ , since,  $\nabla(A) \subseteq \Delta(A)$ , for any function  $f: X \to X$  and for any  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

Further, if the function  $f:(X,\Delta) \to (X,\nabla)$  is closure-preserving (or continuous), then,  $f(\Delta(A)) \subseteq \nabla f(A)$ , for any subset  $A \in \wp(X)$ . Thus, we have following:

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f:(X,\Delta) \to (X,\nabla)$  be a closure-preserving function. Then,  $f(\nabla(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A)) \subseteq \nabla(f(A))$ , for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

We define homeomorphism between two isotonic spaces from [25]:

**Definition 4.4.** If (X, cl) and (Y, cl) are isotonic spaces and  $f: (X, cl_X) \to (Y, cl_Y)$  is a bijection, then f is a homeomorphism if and only if  $f(cl_X(A)) = cl_Y(f(A))$ , for every  $A \in \wp(X)$ 

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $f:(X,\Delta) \to (X,\nabla)$  be a bijective closure-preserving function such that  $\nabla f(A) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ , for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ . Then, f is a homeomorphism.

**Theorem 4.6.** The identity function  $i:(X,\nabla) \to (X,\Delta)$  is always a closure-preserving (or continuous) function.

**Proof.** We know that  $i(\nabla(A)) \subseteq i(\Delta(A)) = \Delta(A) = \Delta(i(A))$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$  and  $i: (X, \Delta) \to (X, \nabla)$  be the identity function. Then, i(A) = A,  $\Delta(A) = \{a\}$  and  $\nabla(A) = \emptyset$ . So,  $i(\Delta(A)) \nsubseteq \nabla(i(A))$ . This example shows that the identity function  $i: (X, \Delta) \to (X, \nabla)$  may not be a closure-preserving function.

**Corollary 4.8.** A closure-preserving bijective mapping  $f:(X, \Delta) \to (X, \nabla)$  is homeomorphism, if and only if,  $\nabla(f(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ , for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose,  $\nabla(f(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ . Then, from the Corollary 4.5, f is a homeomorphism. Conversely, suppose  $f:(X,\Delta) \to (X,\nabla)$  is a homeomorphism, then  $\nabla(f(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$  is obvious.

**Definition 4.9.** [26] A generalized closure space (X, cl) is a  $T_0$ -space if and only if for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exists  $N_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  (where  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \{N \in \wp(X) : x \in Int(N)\}$ ) such that  $y \notin N_x$  or there exists  $N_y \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  (where  $\mathcal{N}(y) = \{N \in \wp(X) : y \in Int(N)\}$ ) such that  $x \notin N_y$ .

**Definition 4.10.** [25] A generalized closure space (X, cl) is a  $T_1$ -space if, for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  such that  $x \notin N''$  and  $y \notin N'$ .

**Definition 4.11.** [25] A generalized closure space (X, cl) is a  $T_2$ -space if and only if, for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  such that  $N' \cap N'' = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 4.12.** [25] A space (X, cl) is a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if and only if, for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  such that  $cl(N') \cap cl(N'') = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $f:(X, \Delta) \to (X, \nabla)$  be a bijective closure-preserving function such that  $\nabla(f(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ , for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ . Then, the followings hold:

- 1.  $(X, \Delta)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, if and only if,  $(X, \nabla)$  is a  $T_0$ -space.
- 2.  $(X, \Delta)$  is a  $T_1$ -space, if and only if,  $(X, \nabla)$  is a  $T_1$ -space.
- 3. (X, Δ) is a T<sub>2</sub>-space, if and only if, (X, ∇) is a T<sub>2</sub>-space.
  4. (X, Δ) is a T<sub>2<sup>1</sup>/2</sub>-space, if and only if, (X, ∇) is a T<sub>2<sup>1</sup>/2</sub>-space.

**Definition 4.14.** Let  $(X, cl_X)$  and  $(Y, cl_Y)$  be two generalized closure spaces. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is called anti closure-preserving if  $cl_Y(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl_X(A))$ , for all  $A \in \wp(X)$ .

Existence of anti closure-preserving function:

**Example 4.15.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$ . Let us define  $cl_X : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  by,  $cl_X(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $cl_X(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ ,  $cl_X(\{b\}) = \{b\}$ ,  $cl_X(\{c\}) = \{c\}$ ,  $cl_X(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b\}$ ,  $cl_X(\{a, c\}) = \{a, b\}$ ,  $cl_X(\{b, c\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $cl_X(X) = X$  and  $cl_Y : \wp(X) \to \wp(X)$  by  $cl_Y(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $cl_Y(\{a\}) = \{a, b\}$ ,  $cl_Y(\{b\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $cl_Y(\{c\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $cl_Y(\{a, b\}) = Y$ ,  $cl_Y(\{a, c\}) = Y$ ,  $cl_Y(\{b, c\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $cl_Y(Y) = Y$ .

Define  $f:(Y,cl_Y) \to (X,cl_X)$  by f(x) = x. Then  $cl_X(f(\emptyset)) = \emptyset$ ,  $cl_X(f(Y)) = X$ ,  $cl_X(f\{a\}) = \{a\}, cl_X(f\{b\}) = \{b\}, cl_X(f\{c\}) = \{c\}, cl_X(f\{a,b\}) = \{a,b\} = cl_X(f\{a,c\})$ ,  $cl_X(f\{b,c\}) = \{b,c\}$  and  $f(cl_Y(\emptyset)) = \emptyset$ ,  $f(cl_Y(\{a\})) = \{a,b\}$ ,  $f(cl_Y(\{b\})) = \{b,c\}$  $= f(cl_Y(\{c\})) = f(cl_Y(\{b,c\}))$ ,  $f(cl_Y(\{a,b\})) = X = f(cl_Y(\{a,c\})) = f(cl_Y(Y))$ .

Thus  $cl_{X}(f(\emptyset)) = f(cl_{Y}(\emptyset)), cl_{X}(f(Y)) = f(cl_{Y}(Y)), cl_{X}(f\{a\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{a\})),$ 

 $cl_{X}(f\{b\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{b\})), cl_{X}(f\{c\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{c\})), cl_{X}(f\{a,b\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{a,b\})),$ 

 $cl_{X}(f\{b,c\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{b,c\})), cl_{X}(f\{a,c\}) \subseteq f(cl_{Y}(\{a,c\})).$ 

Thus we see that f is an anti closure-preserving function.

Note that the identity function  $i: (X, \Delta) \to (Y, \nabla)$  is always an anti closure-preserving function, since, for all  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\nabla(i(A)) = \nabla(A) \subseteq \Delta(A) = i(\Delta(A))$ .

**Remark 4.16.** We can replace " $\nabla(f(A)) \subseteq f(\Delta(A))$ " in Corollary 4.5, Corollary 4.8 and Theorem 4.13 by "*f* is an anti closure-preserving function".

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I. New York: Academic Press, 1966.
- [2] R.Vaidyanathswamy, *The localisation theory in set topology*, Proc. India Acad. Sci., 20 (1945) 51-61.
- [3] T. R. Hamlett, D.Jankovi*c*, *Ideals in Topological Spaces and the Set Operator*  $\Psi$ , Bollettino U. M. I., 7 (4-B) (1990) 863-874.
- [4] D. Jankovi*c*, T.R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (**1990**) 295-310.
- [5] P. Samuels, A topology formed from a given topology and ideal, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 10 (1975) 409-416.
- [6] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann., 156 (1964) 205-215.
- [7] H. Hashimoto, On the \*-topology and its application, Fund. Math., 91 (1976) 5-10.
- [8] R.L. Newcomb, *Topologies Which are Compact Modulo an Ideal*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cal. at Santa Barbara, **1967**.

[9] S. Modak, *Some new topologies on ideal topological spaces*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. A Phys. Sci., 82 (3) (**2012**) 233-243.

- [10] S. Modak, *Minimal spaces with a mathematical structure*, J. Assoc. Arab Univ. BasicAppl. Sci., 22 (2017) 98-101.
- [11] C. Bandyopadhyay, S.Modak, A new topology via ψ -operator, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.India, 76(A), IV (2006) 317-320.

- [12] S. Modak, C. Bandyopadhyay, A note on  $\psi$  operator, Bull. Malyas. Math. Sci. Soc., 30 (1) (2007) 43-48.
- [13] S. Modak, T. Noiri, *Connectedness of Ideal Topological Spaces*, Filomat, 29 (4) (2015) 661-665.
- [14] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, Local closure functions in ideal topological spaces, Novi Sad J. Math., 43 (2)(2013) 139-149.
- [15] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri,  $On \Psi_*$ -operator in ideal *m*-spaces, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., (3s.), 30 (1)(**2012**) 53-66.
- [16] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, On operators in ideal minimal spaces, Mathematica, 58 (81), No. 1-2 (2016) 3-13.
- [17] W.F. Al-Omeri, Mohd.Salmi, Md.Noorani, T.Noiri, A. Al-Omari, *The*  $\Re_a$  operator in *ideal topological spaces*, Creat. Math. Inform., 25 (1) (**2016**) 1-10.
- [18] T. Natkaniec, On I -continuity and I -semicontinuity points, Math. Slovaca, 36 (3) (1986) 297-312.
- [19] Md. M. Islam, S. Modak, Operator associated with the \* and  $\Psi$  operators, J. Taibah Univ. Sci., 12 (4) (2018) 444-449.
- [20] S. Modak, Md. M. Islam, On\* and Ψ operators in topological spaces with ideals, Trans.
   A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 172 (2018) 491-497.
- [21] J. Dontchev, *Idealization of Ganster-Reilly decomposition theorems*, arXIV:math. Gn/9901017v1 [math.GN], **1999**.
- [22] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, Topology Appl., 93 (1999) 1-16.
- [23] D.E. Habil, A.K. Elzenati, *Connectedness in isotonic spaces*, Turk. J. Math. TUBITAK, 30 (**2006**) 247-262.
- [24] B.M.R. Stadler, P.F. Stadler, *Higher separation axioms in generalized closure spaces*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., ser.I.-Rocz. Polsk. Tow. Mat., Ser.I., 43 (**2003**) 257-273.
- [25] D.E. Habil, A.K. Elzenati, *Topological Properties in Isotonic Spaces*, IUG Journal of natural studies, 16 (2) (**2008**) 1-14.
- [26] B.M.R. Stadler, P.F. Stadler, Basic properties of closure spaces, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 42 (2002) 577-590.
- [27] M.H. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans.Amer. Math. Soc., 41 (1937) 375-481.